



## SATISFACTION SURVEY – MISCE project

This questionnaire is anonymous. Please provide honest answers to help us improve the educational platforms.

Rate each item from 0 to 5, where:

0 = Strongly disagree | 1 = Disagree | 2 = Slightly disagree | 3 = Slightly agree | 4 = Agree | 5 = Strongly agree

General experience data	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
The practice was easy to follow and well organized.						
The time allocated for the practice was appropriate.						
The quality of the provided materials was good.						
The explanations were clear and understandable.						
The platform environment was intuitive and easy to use.						
The practice maintained my interest and motivation.						
The practice was well aligned with the course content.						
I would like to participate in more such practices.						

Competency addressed: CAD Software	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
The practice helped me better understand this competency.						
I feel better prepared to apply this competency.						
The practical approach facilitated learning.						
The assessment was fair and appropriate.						

Specific skills addressed:	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
S1. Selection of appropriate CAD software according to key functionalities and project requirements.						
S2. Ability to interpret technical drawings.						
S3. Possession of basic knowledge necessary to recreate the geometry of machine components and make modifications.						
S4. Knowledge of effective use of CAD software to create 2D and 3D parametric technical drawings.						
S5. Ability to use CAD systems to design simple devices or mechatronic systems according to specified requirements.						

Overall opinion	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
I positively evaluate the use of these platforms.						
I would like more competencies to be included in this way.						
I am satisfied with the practice.						
The assessment was fair and appropriate.						

Additional comments (optional):